1	JASON M. FRIERSON		
	United States Attorney		
2	Nevada Bar Number 7709		
_	RICHARD ANTHONY LOPEZ		
3	MINA CHANG		
1	Assistant United States Attorneys 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
5	Tel: 702.388.6336 / Fax: 702.388.6418		
	tony.lopez@usdoj.gov		
6	mina.chang@usdoj.gov		
	Attorneys for the United States		
7			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:17-cr-00110-APG-DJA	
10	Plaintiff,	Stipulation to Set a Supplemental Briefing	
	Trainin,	Schedule and Hearing on Forfeiture and to	
11	V.	Continue Sentencing	
		(Fifth Request)	
12	PHILLIP D. HURBACE et al.,	, , ,	
1.2	D 0 1		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED, by and between Jason M. Frierson,	
16	United States Attorney, through Richard Anthony Lopez and Mina Chang, Assistant United		
17	States Attempted council for the United State	s of America, Osyalda E. Euma, Ess., souncel for	
1/	States Attorneys, counsel for the United States of America, Osvaldo E. Fumo, Esq., counsel for		
18	defendant Phillip D. Hurbace, Lisa A. Rasmussen, Esq., counsel for defendant Sylviane Della		
	,	, 1,	
19	Whitmore, and Daniel Hill, Esq., counsel for	defendant Larry Anthony McDaniel, that:	
•			
20	1. The Court set the following sup	plemental briefing schedule to allow the parties to	
21	address the impact of the government's motio	n to dismiss (filed at ECF 303) on forfeiture:	
21	address the impact of the government's motio	if to distinss (filed at Let 505) of forfeiture.	
22	a. The government must fi	le a Supplement to its Motion for Entry of	
		•	
23	Forfeiture Order (at ECF 232) on or before A	pril 14, 2023;	
24			

- b. Defendants must file any responses to that Supplement on or before May 15, 2023; and
- c. The government must file any reply to defendants' responses on or before May 22, 2023.
- 2. The Court schedule a forfeiture hearing for a date convenient to the Court after the supplemental briefing has concluded; and
- 3. The Court continue the sentencing hearings in this case to a date no earlier than 45 days from the date of the forfeiture hearing.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Because the parties agreed for the Court to decide the issue of forfeiture in this case, on June 30, 2021, the Court ordered a briefing schedule on forfeiture. (ECF 219.) Pursuant to that order, the government filed a Motion for Entry of Forfeiture Order (ECF 232), the defendants filed responses (ECF 235-237), and the government filed its reply (ECF 238).
- 2. After briefing on forfeiture was complete, the Court granted in part defendants Whitmore and McDaniel's motion for a new trial, vacating their convictions on Counts 8, 13-21, and 26-27. (ECF 246, 247.)
- 3. The government has declined to pursue an appeal of that order (ECF 302) and has moved to dismiss the relevant counts (ECF 303) eliminating the need for a new trial.
- 4. Supplemental briefing on the issue of forfeiture is now necessary to address the impact of the change in the counts of conviction for defendants Whitmore and McDaniel on the scope of forfeiture the government may seek and its authority to do so.
- 5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(1)(B), because forfeiture is contested in this case, the Court must hold a hearing to determine what property is subject to

6 becomes final as to the defendant[s]" at sentencing. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(2)(B), (b)(4)(A).

For this reason, the parties request that the Court schedule sentencing for no earlier than 45 days

after the forfeiture hearing.

- 7. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to (a) provide the parties the opportunity to brief the Court on the current status of forfeiture in light of the dismissal of certain counts; and (b) comply with the procedural provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2 regarding the potential imposition of forfeiture orders in this case.
- 8. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the deadline. Denial of this request for continuance of the deadline could result in a miscarriage of justice.
  - 9. Defendants are out of custody and do not object to the request for continuance.

17 | / / /

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18 | / / /

19 | / / /

20 | / / /

21 | / / /

22 | / / /

23 | / / /

24 | / / /

## Case 2:17-cr-00110-APG-DJA Document 307 Filed 04/06/23 Page 4 of 7

1	10. This is the fifth request for a continuance of the sentencing dates.	
2	DATED this 5th day of April, 2023	
3		Respectfully submitted,
4		JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney
5	/s/ Osvaldo E. Fumo	/s/ Richard Anthony Lopez
6	OSVALDO E. FUMO, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant HURBACE	RICHARD ANTHONY LOPEZ MINA CHANG
7		Assistant United States Attorneys
8	/s/ Daniel Hill	/s/ Lisa A. Rasmussen
9	DANIEL HILL, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant MCDANIEL	LISA A. RASMUSSEN, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant WHITMORE
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:17-cr-00110-APG-DJA 3 Plaintiff, 4 Order Granting Stipulation to Set a Supplemental Briefing Schedule and Hearing v. 5 on Forfeiture and to Continue Sentencing PHILLIP D. HURBACE et al., (Fifth Request) 6 Defendants. 7 8 Based on the parties' stipulation to set a supplemental briefing schedule and hearing on 9 forfeiture and to continue sentencing, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby 10 finds that: 11 1. Because the parties agreed for the Court to decide the issue of forfeiture in this 12 case, on June 30, 2021, the Court ordered a briefing schedule on forfeiture. (ECF 219.) Pursuant 13 to that order, the government filed a Motion for Entry of Forfeiture Order (ECF 232), the 14 defendants filed responses (ECF 235-237), and the government filed its reply (ECF 238). 15 2. After briefing on forfeiture was complete, the Court granted in part defendants 16 Whitmore and McDaniel's motion for a new trial, vacating their convictions on Counts 8, 13-21, 17 and 26-27. (ECF 246, 247.) 18 3 The government has declined to pursue an appeal of that order (ECF 302) and has 19 moved to dismiss the relevant counts (ECF 303) eliminating the need for a new trial. 20 4. Supplemental briefing on the issue of forfeiture is now necessary to address the 21 impact of the change in the counts of conviction for defendants Whitmore and McDaniel on the 22 scope of forfeiture the government may seek and its authority to do so. 23 5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(1)(B), because forfeiture is 24 contested in this case, the Court must hold a hearing to determine what property is subject to

forfeiture. For this reason, the parties request that the Court schedule a forfeiture hearing for a date convenient to the Court after the conclusion of the supplemental briefing.

- 6. After that hearing, the Court "must promptly enter a preliminary order of forfeiture" under Rule 32.2(b)(2)(A). The court "must enter the preliminary order sufficiently in advance of sentencing to allow the parties to suggest revisions or modifications before the order becomes final as to the defendant[s]" at sentencing. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(2)(B), (b)(4)(A). For this reason, the parties request that the Court schedule sentencing for no earlier than 45 days after the forfeiture hearing.
- 7. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to (a) provide the parties the opportunity to brief the Court on the current status of forfeiture in light of the dismissal of certain counts; and (b) comply with the procedural provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2 regarding the potential imposition of forfeiture orders in this case.
- 8. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the deadline. Denial of this request for continuance of the deadline could result in a miscarriage of justice.
  - 9. Defendants are out of custody and do not object to the request for continuance.
  - 10. This is the fifth request for a continuance of the sentencing dates.

## **ORDER**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the deadline for the government to file a Supplement to its Motion for Entry of Forfeiture Order is April 14, 2023;

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadline for defendants to file any responses to that Supplement is May 15, 2023;

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadline for the government to file any reply to those responses May 22, 2023;

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a forfeiture hearing is scheduled for June 22, 2023 at
2	9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6C; and
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentencing hearings currently scheduled for April
4	20, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., 1:30 p.m., and 2:30 p.m., are hereby reset to:
5	1:30 p.m. on July 27, 2023, for Philip D. Hurbace;
6	9:00 a.m. on July 27, 2023, for Larry Anthony McDaniel; and
7	10:00 a.m. on July 27, 2023, for Sylviane Della Whitmore;
8	all in Courtroom 6C.
9	
10	<b>DATED:</b> April 6, 2023
11	a
12	
13	HON. ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	